
Evaluation of the Single Regeneration Challenge Fund
Budget;
A Partnership for Regeneration
Appendices

Prepared by

Angela Brennan, John Rhodes and Peter Tyler
for the
Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions

Department of Land Economy
University of Cambridge
Tel: (01223) 337147

January 1998

CONTENTS

APPENDIX A CASE STUDY PROFORMAS	1
Case Study 1: Northumbria Community Safety	1
Case Study 2: W Midlands Industrial Club	2
Case Study 3: Limes farm Partnership	3
Case Study 4: West Cornwall Initiative	4
Case Study 5: Brent & Harrow	5
Case Study 6: Hangleton Knoll	6
Case Study 7: Bristol 2020	7
Case Study 8: The Merseyside Learning Partnership	8
Case Study 9: The Chalkhill Estate	9
Case Study 10: Manufacturing Partnership	10
Case Study 11: Canalside Rochdale	11
Case Study 12: Regenerating Wolverhampton	12
Case Study 13: Swadlincote Woodlands	13
Case Study 14: South Leytonstone Community Partnership	14
Case Study 15: Regenerating Central Brighton	15
Case Study 16: City of Sunderland Partnership	16
Case Study 17: Hull City Vision	17
Case Study 18: Nottingham - Capturing the Dynamics	18
Case Study 19: Greenwich - Woolwich Revival	19
Case Study 20: Royds Bradford	20
APPENDIX B GROSS OUTPUT INDICATORS FROM ROUNDS 1 & 2	21

APPENDIX A CASE STUDY PROFORMAS

Case Study 1: Northumbria Community Safety

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	2 years	1997	£0.9	NE	Cr, Emp, Ethn
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 1995/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 1996/97			
<i>Mission</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage & focus the bringing together of organisations & individuals to achieve sustainable local regeneration through increased community safety (Community Safety Strategy) Supplement & expand existing multi-agency work on drug misuse (Drugs Accord) 				
<i>Area</i>	Region - Both the Community Safety Strategy and Drugs Accord apply to the whole of Northumbria ie the Counties of Northumbria and Tyne & Wear				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Northumbria Community Safety Strategy Partnership has 25 members. It operates through a Strategy Board chaired by Northumbria Police Authority other members are all local authorities, local health and crime related organisations. Gateshead MBC is the Accountable Body under the co-ordination of the Northumbria Police Authority.				

Lead Partner	Gateshead MBC
Lead Contact	Sheila Stokes-Wyatt
Address	Gateshead MBC, Civic Centre, Regent St Gateshead, Tyne & Wear NE8 1HH
Phone/fax	0191 477 1011

Other Partners

Northumbria Police Authority
Newcastle City Council
North Tyneside MBC
Northumberland County Council
South Tyneside MBC
Sunderland City Council
Northumbria Coalition Against Crime

Case Study 2: W Midlands Industrial Club

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	3	1999	0.4	WM	Emp
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99		
<i>Mission</i>	To achieve the attraction, education & training of capable young people into the engineering & manufacturing industry of W Midlands				
<i>Area</i>	Region - West Midlands region				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	Development, implementation & delivery is industry led and is achieved through a Management Board drawn from industry & education with predominant representation of local science, technology & engineering related employers. The Engineers Employment Federation West Midlands is the designated Accountable Body				

Lead Partner	West Midlands Industrial Club/EEF?????
Lead Contact	David Robinson
Address	St James's House, Frederick Rd, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 1JJ
Phone/fax	0121 456 2222

Other Partners

Warwickshire Education Business Partnership
Birmingham Education Business Partnership
Dudley TEC
HAWTEC
Sandwell Education Business Partnership
Walsall TEC
The Staffordshire Partnership
Shropshire TEC

Case Study 3: Limes farm Partnership

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	3	1998	1.0	ES	Hous, cr, env
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/6	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/7	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/8		
<i>Mission</i>	To regenerate the Limes Farm area by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • improvement of public sector housing • development of housing association housing • enhancement of the local physical environment • development of community identity & social conditions • reduction of victims of crime & improvement in community safety • provision of training education & personal development opportunities 				
<i>Area</i>	Small Area - Limes Farm Estate in Chigwell, Essex, (total population of 2,500) within Epping Forest District Council area				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	Full Partnership Board chaired by District Council as lead partner and Accountable Body. Small co-ordinating Steering Committee also chaired by District Council Chief Exec with other representatives from County Council, Police, Residents Association and Health Trust.				

Lead Partner	Epping Forest District Council
Lead Contact	Alan Hall
Address	Epping Forest District Council, High St Epping CM16 4BZ
Phone/fax	01992 564000

Other Partners

Essex County Council (Highways & Transportation)
Essex County Council (Social Services Dept)
Essex County Council (Education Dept)
The Metropolitan Police Service
Limes Farm Residents Association
Essex & Herts Community NHS Trust
London & Quadrant Housing Trust
Essex Probation Service
Essex TEC

Case Study 4: West Cornwall Initiative

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	4		2.8	SW	Econ, Emp
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	
<i>Mission</i>	To help regenerate the corridor of old industrial areas stretching from Penzance through Hayle, Camborne and Redruth, to Falmouth & Penryn. Focusing on 2 goals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • revitalisation of town centres • creation of new job opportunities throughout W Cornwall 				
<i>Area</i>	More than 1 LA - The target area for the bid is the whole of West Cornwall comprising the three LA districts of Carrick, Kerrier and Penwith.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The West Cornwall Initiative Partnership was established before SRB and is led by Kerrier District Council who are also the Accountable Body for the scheme. Kerrier District Council delegated co-ordination and overseeing of the delivery of the SRB programme to WCI which has not yet become a strategic body. The WCI is assisted by a Programme Manager.				

Lead Partner	Kerrier District Council
Lead Contact	Mr Alan Bunce
Address	2 Council Offices, Dolcoath Ave, Cambourne, TR14 8SX
Phone/fax	01209 614000

Other Partners

Carrick District Council
Penwith District Council
Barclays Bank
Cornwall County Council
Devon & Cornwall TEC
Business Link
Rural Development Commission
Cornwall College
West Cornwall Enterprise Trust Ltd
Groundwork Kerrier
WC LEADER Project
English Partnerships
Business in the Community

Case Study 5: Brent & Harrow

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	3 years	1998	1.56	LO	Emp, Ed, Tr
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i>	<i>Yr 5</i>
<i>Mission</i>	To create a dynamic local economy in NW London through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • improving the quality & growth rates of new businesses • enhancing education industry links • to enable the participation of voluntary groups through the development of successful community enterprises 				
<i>Area</i>	More than 1 LA - The whole of the population of 451,000 in London Boroughs of Brent & Harrow				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	Led by the TEC, the Partnership builds on strategic groups developed by the TEC to deliver the three elements of the scheme; Understanding Business, New Business Development and Community Works. All three groups managed through TEC.?? TEC is Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	NWLTEC
Lead Contact	Simon Bissell Programmes Manager
Address	Kirkfield House, 118/120 Station Road, Harrow, Middx HA1 2RL
Phone/fax	0181 424 8866/0181 424 2240

Other Partners

London Borough of Brent
London Borough of Harrow
Careers Service Partnership
Education Business Partnership
Brent Business Venture
Harrow in Business
Harrow Council for Racial Equality
Midland Bank
Princes Youth Business Trust
Brent Regeneration Agency
Park Royal Partnership
Brent & Harrow Refugee Community Project

Case Study 6: Hangleton Knoll

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	4	2000	1.6	SE	Emp, ed, tr, env, cr, qual
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 4</i> 99/2000	
<i>Mission</i>	Tackling the need for <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • employment, partic amongst young people & lone parents • skills & experience enhancement • activity to divert young people from crime, alcohol & substance misuse • activity to diffuse tensions betw generations through increased sporting, cultural & health facs • physical regeneration of the environment 				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - Situated in the north of the Borough of Hove, the Hangleton & Knoll target area comprises the Hangleton housing estate and the Knoll housing estate, both owned by the local authority.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	While Hangleton & Knoll Project are the lead partner, Brighton & Hove Council are the Accountable Body for the Partnership. Delivery of the scheme is managed by the Hangleton & Knoll Challenge Partnership Board which has 12 members, and is carried out by Project Teams/Working Groups for each of the 4 scheme areas.				

Lead Partner	Hangleton Knoll Project
Lead Contact	Barry Hulyer
Address	2 The Parade, Hangleton Rd, Hove, BN3 7LU
Phone/fax	01273 220426

Other Partners

Brighton & Hove Council (formerly Hove Borough Council)
Hangleton Community Association
Kickstart Motorbike Project
E Sussex Careers Service
Hangleton & Knoll Community Festival
Sussex Chamber of Commerce
Knoll Community Association

Case Study 7: Bristol 2020

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	6	2001	7.9	SW	Emp, Econ, Ho, Eth, Cr, Qual
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96 <i>Yr 6</i> 00/01	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	To generate and develop strong, diverse and sustainable communities in the Bristol Crescent where, through the linking of people with opportunities, individuals and employers can prosper in confidence				
<i>Area</i>	LA District - To regenerate the Bristol Urban Crescent including the areas of; the inner city, Knowle West, Hartcliffe & Withywood, Southmead, Lawrence Weston and Lockleaze with a total population of 165,000.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The programme is managed by the Bristol Regeneration Partnership with 9 members on the Board. A representative of each of the partners sits on the Operations Group (except TUC). The lead partner is Bristol City Council which is also the Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Bristol City Council
Lead Contact	Will Bee
Address	The Council House, Cottage Green, Bristol BS1 5TU
Phone/fax	0117 9224251

Other Partners

WESTEC
Bristol Chamber of Commerce
Western Development Partnership
Bristol Black Voluntary Sector
VOSCUR
S W Region TUC

Case Study 8: The Merseyside Learning Partnership

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	5	2001	4.4	ME	Educ, Emp
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 1996/97	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 4</i> 99/2000	<i>Yr 5</i> 00/01
<i>Mission</i>	Focuses on the needs of 2 key groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • young people 11-14 at risk of disaffection & underachievement • young people 14-16 identified as long-term non-attenders/permanently excluded from school 				
<i>Area</i>	Targeting 11-16 year olds within the whole of Merseyside .				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	Merseyside TEC is Accountable Body for the Partnership. Management of the projects is by the Learning Partnership Management Group which reports to the Education & Training Strategic Group. Membership of the Management Group includes 3 LEA Officers and 3 Head Teachers with Chief Executive & Secretary from the TEC.				

Lead Partner	Merseyside TEC
Lead Contact	Mike McCann
Address	Tithebarn House, 1 Tithebarn St, Liverpool, L2 2NZ
Phone/fax	0151 2360026

Other Partners

Knowsley LEA
Liverpool LEA
Sefton LEA
Cities in Schools (UK)
The Prince's Trust
Liverpool City Challenge
Bootle Maritime City Challenge
Liverpool - City of Learning
Healthy Cities
Merseyside Council for Voluntary Service

Case Study 9: The Chalkhill Estate

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	5 years	2000	3.3	LO	Emp
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To use regeneration process as the means for improving the skill base & wealth earning capacity of Chalkhill residents To ensure a focus on external labour markets & contract opportunities as well as on internal estate-based opportunities. 				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - The primary focus is on the Chalkhill housing estate within the ward of St Andrew in the borough of Brent but some benefits will be felt by the rest of the St Andrew's ward and the neighbouring St Raphael's ward.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The SRB Partnership Board and Delivery Agent is a sub Committee of the London Borough of Brent's Regeneration Committee, the Chalkhill Employment & Training Access Centre Ltd which has 6 councillors and 5 private sector co-optees. The London Borough of Brent is the Accountable Body for the Partnership.				

Lead Partner	New Horizon (Brent) Ltd
Lead Contact	Suzanne Lubran
Address	New Horizons Development Office, 1 Saxon Rd, Wembley, HA9 9TP/220 Goldbeater's Walk, Chalkhill, HA1 9BA
Phone/fax	NH (B) Ltd - 0181 904 7857/fax 0181 904 4671

Other Partners

Brent Regeneration Agency
Wimpey Homes
Metropolitan Housing Trust
Metroplitan Housing Trust

Case Study 10: Manufacturing Partnership

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	5 years	2000	8.7	NW	Econ, Emp
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	To enhance long-term wealth & employment creation in Lancashire through a strategically targeted, five year programme of integrated support for the manufacturing sector				
<i>Area</i>	County - The scheme is focused on the manufacturing sector in the County of Lancashire.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Partnership is a company limited by guarantee with three equal partners each nominating 3 Board Directors from Lancashire Enterprises, Lancashire Area West TEC and East Lancashire TEC . There is one permanent Co-ordinator. The Partnership as limited company acts as Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	
Lead Partner	Mr G Walker
Lead Contact	The Lancashire Manufacturing Partnership Ltd
Address	S3A 7E British Aerospace Defence Ltd, Military Aircraft Div, Salmesbury Aerodrome, Balderstone, Blackburn, BB2 7LF
Phone/fax	

Other Partners

Lancashire Enterprises
Lancashire Area West TEC
E Lancashire TEC

Case Study 11: Canalside Rochdale

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	5 years	2000	10.5	NW	Econ, Emp, Ho
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	To regenerate the Canalside area of Rochdale by facilitating the physical renewal of land and premises, by stimulating and supporting local businesses, by helping local people get appropriate training to help them get the jobs created, by renewing outworn housing & by helping local residents & business people to build a safer community				
<i>Area</i>	The area of need is the Canalside area of Rochdale with a population of 11,000, but this should benefit the wider population of Rochdale of 35,000.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Rochdale Partnership is a company limited by guarantee - Challenge Company. The Board of Directors comprises Chief Executives from Rochdale MBC, TEC, Chamber, Business Link & Rochdale Development Agency - Partnership is currently aiming for 17 Board Directors (it has 10).. Strategic management is carried out by the Corporate Executive while programme management is via 5 Programme Agents for; housing, training, regeneration, environment & community.. Rochdale MBC are Accountable Body				

Lead Partner	Rochdale Development Agency
Lead Contact	Ms Cath Green
Address	Old Vicarage, Sparrow Hill, Rochdale, OL16 1QT
Phone/fax	01706 868999

Other Partners

Rochdale TEC
Rochdale MBC
Rochdale Voluntary Sector Forum
Rochdale Chamber of Commerce

Case Study 12: Regenerating Wolverhampton

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	5 years	2000	16.5	WM	Emp, Econ, Ho, Eth, Cr, Env
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	98/99	99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	To regenerate Wolverhampton as; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a competitive economy with a first class workforce • a sustainable city providing access to a range of land & property • a harmonious community 				
<i>Area</i>	More than 1 LA - Focused on the Borough of Wolverhampton.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Partnership Committee has 15 members responsible for carrying forward the Delivery Plan. Management arrangements devolved to sub-partnerships assigned to specific elements: generating wealth, learning & development, empowerment & diversification in housing and anchoring the voluntary sector in SRB process. Wolverhampton MBC is Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Wolverhampton MBC
Lead Contact	Len Wright
Address	Civic Centre, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton, WV1 1SH
Phone/fax	01902 314021

Other Partners

Wolverhampton TEC
Wolverhampton Chamber of Commerce
Wolverhampton Voluntary Sector Council
West Midlands Police
Wolverhampton Health Executive
Black Country Development Corporation
Wolverhampton Race Equality Council
Wolverhampton & District Trades Council

Case Study 13: Swadlincote Woodlands

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	6 years	2002	3.4	EM	Educ, Tr, Econ, Env, Ho, Cr
<i>Timescale</i>	Yr 1 96/97 Yr 6 01/02	Yr 2 97/98	Yr 3 98/99	Yr 4 99/2000	Yr 5 00/01
<i>Mission</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhance employment prospects. & education skills • Encourage sustainable economic growth & wealth creation • Protect & improve local environment & infrastructure • Improve housing & housing conditions • Tackle crime & improve community safety • Enhance quality of life of local people of Swadlincote 				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - Regeneration of the Swadlincote area which is the administrative centre of South Derbyshire located at the heart of the National Forest in the South Derbyshire District.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Made in Swadlincote Partnership agreed South Derbyshire District Council to act as Accountable Body for the Partnership. SDDC delegated management of the programme to Swadlincote Woodlands Regeneration Board which has 14 members; 6 from public sector, 7 private and 1 community/voluntary. One project Co-ordinator oversees the programme, based at SDDC.				

Lead Partner	South Derbyshire District Council
Lead Contact	Catherine Eaton
Address	Civic Offices, Civic way, Swadlincote, Derbyshire, DE11 0AH
Phone/fax	01283 221000 x2040/01283 550128

Other Partners

Business Link Southern Derbyshire
Derby & Derbyshire Business Venture
Derbyshire County Council
Gresley Investments Ltd
National Forest Company
S Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce, Training & Enterprise
S Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce, Commerce & Industry
Swadlincote & S Derbyshire Chamber of Trade, Comm & Ind

Case Study 14: South Leytonstone Community Partnership

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	7 years	2003	10.0	LO	Hous
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 96/97 <i>Yr 6</i> 01/02	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98 <i>Yr 7</i> 02/03	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 4</i> 99/2000	<i>Yr 5</i> 00/01
<i>Mission</i>	To transform S Leytonstone from an area with high levels of unemployment, crime & appalling housing conditions, into one in which people are proud to live & work				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - The bid is centred on South Leytonstone which extends south down Leytonstone High Road to include Maryland Point. The area covers the Cathall ward and part of the Cann Hall ward. Up to 25,000 people in the bid area & environs affected by the programme.				
<i>Partnership Structure</i>	The Partnership comprises four members each from the local community, business community and local authority plus up to five others co-opted from major programme stakeholders such as Police, Waltham Forest Housing Action Trust and LETEC. London Borough of Waltham Forest is the Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Stratford Development Partnership Ltd
Lead Contact	Joan Toovey
Address	The Old Dispensary, 30 Romford Rd, London, E15 4BZ
Phone/fax	0181 519 7790

Other Partners

Waltham Forest Council
Waltham Forest Housing Action Trust
East Thames Housing Group
Holy Trinity Church
Avenue Steering Group
Newham Chamber of Commerce
Stratford Community Forum
.Newham Council
Harry Cohen MP
Metropolitan Police Service
LETEC
Cathall Road Steering Group
Waltham Forest Chamber of Commerce
Stratford Business Forum
Bovis Construction Ltd

Case Study 15: Regenerating Central Brighton

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	5 years	2001	10.1	SE	Emp, Tr, Ed, Econ, Ho, Eth, Cr, Env, Qu, Hea
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 4</i> 99/2000	<i>Yr 5</i> 00/01
<i>Mission</i>	To regenerate the Central Brighton area through a number of interrelated projects falling within four themes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural Industries • Regency Revival • Phoenix Brewery • Small Business Development 				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - The Central Brighton area comprising all the Regency ward and part Seven Dials & part St Peters ward.				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	9 members of the Partnership make up Steering Group which reports to a Co-ordinating Committee. The Regeneration Manager, appointed by the Council, reports to the Steering Group. Brighton & Hove Council (formerly Brighton Borough Council) are the Accountable Body. A new structure is being developed by the Council to incorporate the Regeneration Partnership for SRB3 in Brighton.?????				

Lead Partner	Brighton & Hove Council (formerly Brighton Borough Council)
Lead Contact	Martin Taylor/Miranda Pearce
Address	Kings House, Grand Ave, Hove, E Sussex BN3 2LS
Phone/fax	01273 290000 & 01273 291090

Other Partners

Sussex Enterprise
E Sussex County Council
E Sussex, Brighton & Hove Health Authority
PACT Community Projects
Brighton & Hove Economic Development Company
Brighton Consortium of Housing Associations
Brighton Festival
Regency Area Trader & Community Associations

Case Study 16: City of Sunderland Partnership

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	7 years	2002	10.9	NE	Econ
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96 <i>Yr 6</i> 00/01	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97 <i>Yr 7</i> 01/02	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	Focus on target areas of the City to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • promote sustainable economic growth • secure improved access to education, training & employment • improve environment & infrastructure • Tackle crime & community safety • improve housing quality & choice • promote initiatives of benefit to ethnic minorities • enhance quality of life of local communities 				
<i>Area</i>	LA District - Three areas of focus: Sunderland City Centre, Houghton-Hetton coalfield communities and the Hendon-East Maritime Zone				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	The Partnership operates on two levels; the City of Sunderland Partnership Management Board & the Officer Working Group. The Board has a representative from each of the partners at Chairman, Chief Executive or equivalent level. The OWG is chaired by the head of the Council's Regeneration Team. The City Council is Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	City of Sunderland Partnership (City Council)
Lead Contact	Mr M P Spooner/Tim Pain
Address	Civic Centre, Sunderland, SR2 7DN
Phone/fax	0191 553 1164

Other Partners

The City of Sunderland Forum
City of Sunderland TEC
Tyne & Wear Development Corporation
The University of Sunderland
City of Sunderland Business Fora
NE Chamber of Commerce, Trade & Industry

Case Study 17: Hull City Vision

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	5 years	2001	11.7	YH	Emp, Ed, Tr, Hous, Cr, Env, Qual, Health
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 96/97	<i>Yr 2</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 3</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 4</i> 99/2000	<i>Yr 5</i> 2000/01
<i>Mission</i>	To create a new Gipsyville (estate), to provide a strategic framework for the regeneration of the area and to establish more pride and prosperity through a targeted integrated package of measures to physically improve the estate, create jobs, increase training opportunities and enhance the quality of life..				
<i>Area</i>	LA District - The Gipsyville Estate (est pop 4330) lies in the West of the City and straddles part of the wards of Pickering and Newington. It is an interwar estate requiring significant renovation and has been designated a "Regeneration Priority Area" within the City Plan.				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	CityVision's strategic making body is the Steering Group of around 26 members. The Executive Board responsible for delivery has 7 members. A set of five Executive Teams report to the Board: - Image, Essence & fabric, social, economic & Citylearning. Kingston upon Hull City Council are Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Hull City Vision Ltd
Lead Contact	Barrie Matterson Regeneration Manager
Address	6 Posterngate, Hull, HU1 2JN
Phone/fax	01482 320021/01482 329189

Other Partners

Gipsyville Action Centre Steering Group
Francis Askew School
HumberSide TEC
Hull & Holderness NHS Trust/East Riding Health Authority
Keepmoat Holdings plc
Hull City Council Housing Dept
University of Hull
Hull City Council

Case Study 18: Nottingham - Capturing the Dynamics

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
2	6 years	2002	13.2	EM	Emp, Ed, Tr, Econ, Ho, Eth, Cr, Env, Hea
<i>Timescale</i>	Yr 1 96/97 Yr 6 01/02	Yr 2 97/98	Yr 3 98/99	Yr 4 99/2000	Yr 5 00/01
<i>Mission</i>	Focuses on the regeneration of 3 inter-linked disadvantaged communities in the City. Aim is physical, social & economic regeneration.				
<i>Area</i>	LA District/TEC - There are three priority areas within the City of Nottingham; the Broxtowe Estate, the Bestwood Estate and the Urban Initiative Area comprising; Radford, Hyson Green and Forest Fields.				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	The Nottingham Partnership Forum has a Regeneration Board responsible for delivery of the scheme. The Board comprises 6 members including the Leader of the City Council, Chief Exec of GNTEC & Deputy leader of County Council. The Board is supported by a secretariat which includes a Programme Manager & Technical Advisory Group. Nottingham City Council acts as Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Nottingham City Council
Lead Contact	Reesham Ajula
Address	Policy Unit, The Guildhall, Nottingham, NG1 4BY
Phone/fax	0115 948 3500/0115 935 0831

Other Partners

GNTEC/Business Link
Nottinghamshire County Council
Nottingham Development Enterprise
Voluntary Sector Urban Regeneration Forum
Nottingham Colleges Partnership

Case Study 19: Greenwich - Woolwich Revival

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	7 years	2003	24.9	LO	Econ
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96 <i>Yr 6</i> 00/01	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97 <i>Yr 7</i> 01/02	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/00
<i>Mission</i>	Regenerate the Woolwich area by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • regeneration of Royal Arsenal & its integration with Woolwich Centre • stimulation of new business & manufacturing jobs • thriving commercial & retail centre • improvements to housing • long term consolidation of University of Greenwich • community, health & security development • school improvement/language support • enhance quality of life to Woolwich residents • plus other regeneration schemes 				
<i>Area</i>	LA District - Based primarily on 4 wards in the Woolwich area of St Marys, Arsenal, Glyndon and Lakedale.				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	The SRB programme is managed by the Woolwich Development Agency, the Board of the Agency comprises 3 members each from LB Greenwich, Waterfront Business Forum and Waterfront Community Forum. A team of staff employed by the Council administer the scheme, they are led by a Managing Director. London Borough of Greenwich acts as the Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Woolwich Development Agency
Lead Contact	Frances Dolan/David Candlin
Address	23 Woolwich Rd, London SE18 6EU
Phone/fax	0181 312 5885

Other Partners

London Borough Greenwich
Greenwich Waterfront Business Forum
Greenwich Waterfront Community Forum
University of Greenwich
Greenwich Council for Racial Equality
Bexley & Greenwich Health Authority

Case Study 20: Royds Bradford

Round	Duration	Final yr	SRB spend	Region	Objs
1	7 years	2002	31.0	YH	Hous
<i>Timescale</i>	<i>Yr 1</i> 95/96 <i>Yr 6</i> 00/01	<i>Yr 2</i> 96/97 <i>Yr 7</i> 01/02	<i>Yr 3</i> 97/98	<i>Yr 4</i> 98/99	<i>Yr 5</i> 99/2000
<i>Mission</i>	To regenerate the Royds area by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • encouraging refurbishment of public sector housing • building new homes for rent & sale • regenerating the environment • creating new jobs & improve training infrastructure • improve educational attainment & social provision • building the capacity of local people to create sustained & sustainable regeneration in the area 				
<i>Area</i>	Small area - The focus is the Royds area of Bradford a complex of three housing estates; Buttershaw, Woodside and Delf Hill located on the south periphery of the main urban area of the District of Bradford. Population in and around the immediate area is 12,000.				
<i>Partnership Structure:</i>	The Board of the Royds Community Association comprises around 21 members with the majority consisting of local residents alongside the key partners; Keepmoat Holdings, Brunel Family Housing Association and Bradford MDC. A management Team is involved in the delivery of the scheme. The Royds Community Association acts as the Accountable Body.				

Lead Partner	Royds Community Association
Lead Contact	Tony Dylak
Address	86 Halifax Road, Odsal, Bradford BD6 1JJ
Phone/fax	01274 772273

Other Partners

Bradford MDC
Bradford TEC
Keepmoat Construction Ltd
Brunel Family Housing Association
Bradford College
Council of Churches
Haslam Homes

APPENDIX B GROSS OUTPUT INDICATORS FROM ROUNDS 1 & 2

Table B1 Outputs listed by Round 1 headings, Round 2 comparisons as follows:-

Round 1	Round 2	Round 1	Round 2	Round 1	Round 2
1A	1Ai+1Aii	3Ai	3Ai+3Aii	7A	7Ai+7Aii+7Aiii
1B	1B	3Aii	3Aiii+3Aiv	7B	7Aiv+7Av+7Avi+7Biv+7Bv+7Bvi
1C	1C	3B	3B	8A	8Ai+8Aii*
1D	1D	5B	5Bi+5Bii	8C	8C
1E	1E	5C	5C	8D	8D
1F	1Fii	5D	5Di	8E	8E
1I	1I	6A	6A		
1J	1J	6B	6B		
1K	1Ki	6C	6C		
2A	2A	6D	6Di+6Dii		
2B	2Bi+2Bii	6E	6E		
2C	2Ci	6F	6F		

No comparisons for Round 1H, 3C, 3D, 3E, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 8B and Round 2 2D. Round 1 1G omitted as not directly comparable with 1Gi/1Gii & 1L omitted as not directly comparable with Round 2 definitions of 1Li /1Lii, also Round 1 5A not directly comparable with 5A1, 5Aii, & 5Aiii in Round 2 so all omitted here.

*Assuming Round 1 8A includes community orgs even though not in definition

Table B2 Definitions of outputs

Code	Round 1	Code	Round 2
1A	No jobs created/safeguarded	1Ai	No jobs created
		1Aii	No jobs safeguarded
		1Aiii	No of construction jobs
1B	No pupils benefiting from projects designed to enhance/improve attainment	1B	No pupils benefiting from projects designed to enhance/improve attainment
1C	No people trained obtaining quals	1C	No people trained obtaining quals
1D	No residents of target areas accessing emp thru training, advice or specific targeted assistance	1D	No residents of target areas accessing emp thru training, advice or specific targeted assistance
1E	No of training weeks	1E	No of training weeks
1F	No people trained obtaining jobs (of which who were unemployed)	1Fi	No trained people obtaining jobs
		1Fii	No of these who were formerly unemployed
1G	No of residents of target areas accessing employment through training, advice or specifically targeted assistance/No unemployed entering self emp	1Gi	No people entering self emp
		1Gii	No of these who were previously unemployed NO 1H
1H	No ethnic minority pupils improved to recognisable high attainment level in English as second language eg disabled		
1I	No from disadvantaged gps being targeted who obtain a job eg disabled	1I	No from disadvantaged gps being targeted who obtain a job eg disabled
1J	No of young benefiting from projects to promote personal & social development	1J	No of young benefiting from projects to promote personal & social development
1K	No employers involved in collaborative projects with education to improve student performance	1ki	No employers involved in collaborative projects with education to improve student performance
		1Kii	No students involved in collaborative projects
1L	% of teachers on placements into business	1Li	No teachers who have had placement into business during last period (<i>no direct comp with R1</i>)
		1Lii	Total no teachers in target area (<i>no direct comp with R1</i>)
2A	No of new business start ups	2A	No of new business start ups
2B	m ² new/improved business/comml floorspace	2Bi	Area of new bus/comml floorspace
		2Bii	Area of improved bus/comml floorspace
2C	Survival rate of new businesses	2Ci	No new businesses
		2Cii	No surviving 52 weeks
		2Ciii	No surviving 78 weeks
	NO 2D	2D	No businesses advised
3Ai	No dwellings completed/improved, private sector	3Ai	No private sector dwellings completed
3Aii	No dwellings completed/improved, public sector	3Aii	No private sector dwellings improved
		3Aiii	No LA dwellings completed
		3Aiv	No LA dwellings improved
		3Av	No HA dwellings completed
		3Avi	No HA dwellings improved
3B	No dwellings included in tenant management organisation	3B	No dwellings included in newly formed tenant management organisation
3C	Increase in proportion of total rent due		NO 3C
3D	Increase in proportion of responsive repairs		NO 3D
3E	No dwellings transferred out of public sector to owner occupation/rent		NO 3E

Code	Round 1	Code	Round 2
4A	No of ethnic minority business star ups		NO 4A
4B	No unemployed ethnic minorities obtaining job		NO 4B
4C	No ethnic minority pupils enabled to achieve higher levels of educational attainment		NO 4C
4D	No ethnic minority people entering voc training		NO 4D
4E	No ethnic minority people enabled access to info/advice/mainstream provision		NO 4E
5A	Proportion or no of elderly, women or all people who benefit from community safety initiatives	5Ai	Total no of beneficiaries of Community Safety Initiatives (<i>no direct comp with R1</i>)
		5Aii	of which are aged 60+ (<i>no direct comp with R1</i>)
		5Aiii	of whom are women (<i>no direct comp with R1</i>)
5B	No of dwellings & comml bldgs where security upgraded	5Bi	No dwellings where security upgraded
		5Bii	No comml bldgs where security is upgraded
5C	No of community safety initiatives	5C	No of community safety initiatives
5D	No of youth crime prevention initiatives	5Di	No youth prevention initiatives
		5Dii	Nos attending youth crime prevention initiatives
6A	Ha land improved/reclaimed for open space	6A	Ha land improved/reclaimed for open space
6B	Ha land improved/reclaimed/serviced for development	6B	Ha land improved/reclaimed/serviced for development
6C	No buildings improved & brought back into use	6C	No buildings improved & brought back into use
6D	Km of roads built/improved	6Di	Km roads built
		6Dii	Km roads improved
6E	No traffic calming schemes	6E	No traffic calming schemes
6F	Waste management/recycling schemes	6F	Waste management/recycling schemes
7A	No people given access to new opps facilities	7Ai	No local people given access to new health opps/facs
		7Aii	No local people with given access to new sports opps/facs
		7Aiii	No local people given access to new cultural opps/facs
		7Aiv	No new health facs
		7Av	No of new sports facs
		7Avi	No of new cultural facs
7B	No community facs improved/established eg health provision, parks, sports etc	7Bi	Nos using improved health facs
		7Bii	Nos using improved sports facs
		7Biii	Nos using new cultural facs
		7Biv	No of community health facs improved
		7Bv	No of community sports facs improved
		7Bvi	No of community cultural facs improved

Code	Round 1	Code	Round 2
8A	No voluntary organisations supported	8Ai	No voluntary orgs supported wholly/partly by CF
		8Aii	No community gps supported wholly/partly by CF
8B	No/% dwellings incld in tenant management orgs		NO 8B
8C	No individuals employed in voluntary work	8C	No individuals employed in vol work
8D	No local employers with employee volunteering schemes	8D	No local employers with employee volunteering schemes
8E	No community enterprise start ups.	8E	No community enterprise start ups.

